arXiv:1111.0081v1 [math.GR] 31 Oct 2011

On the Quasiconvex Subgroups of $F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$

Jordan Sahattchieve*
Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI

October 29, 2011

In this paper we analyze the action of the quasiconvex subgroups of $F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ on the convex hulls of their orbits. An expanded and revised version of the paper which will contain references to the literature will be posted at a later time. The author assumes responsibility for any errors, misprints, or omissions this write-up may contain.

The author would like to thank Christopher Mooney for the valuable insight he shared with him during their brief conversations in the Winter of 2011. The author would also like to thank the Rackham Graduate School at the University of Michigan for the Summer Research Grant on which the author was partially supported during the Summer of 2011 through his adviser Prof. Peter Scott.

The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem: Let H be a quasiconvex subgroup of $G = F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, and let X be the product of the regular $2m$-valent tree with $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the usual action of G. Then the action of H on the convex hull of any orbit Hx_0 is cocompact.

Our interest in this question stems from the larger question of whether quasiconvex subgroups of CAT(0) groups are CAT(0). In particular, one would like to know whether the action of a quasiconvex subgroup on the convex hull of an orbit is cocompact.

---

[*] The author was partially supported by NSF Research Training Grant DMS-0602191

1 Convex Hulls and Quasiconvex Subgroups

Let X be a uniquely geodesic space. We shall write $[x, y]$ for the image of the unique geodesic segment joining the points $x, y \in X$. If $\alpha : [0, D] \mapsto X$ is a linearly parameterized geodesic (or a geodesic parameterized proportional to arc length, in which case $D = 1$) with $\alpha(0) = x$ and $\alpha(1) = y$, we shall, as a matter of convenience, write $[x, y](t)$ for $\alpha(t)$. We recall that $Y \subseteq X$ is **(geodesically) convex** if $[x, y] \subseteq Y$ whenever $x, y \in Y$. The smallest convex subset of X containing Y is called the **convex hull** of Y and we shall denote this convex subset by $conv(Y)$.
If X is a CAT(0) space, then every convex subspace of X is obviously itself CAT(0) with the induced metric. An idea which dates back to Minkowski and Brunn is to construct $conv(Y)$ by means of a sequential process as follows: Let $conv^0(Y) = Y$ and define recursively $conv^i(Y) = conv^1(conv^{i-1}(Y))$, where for any set S, $conv^1(S)$ is defined to be the union of the images of all geodesic segments having endpoints in S. Then we have the following result usually attributed to Hermann Brunn:

Lemma 1.1. *Let X be a uniquely geodesic space and Y a subspace, then $conv(Y) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{i=\infty} conv^i(Y)$.*

Proof. Obviously, $conv^i(Y) \subseteq conv(Y)$ for all i. It is also clear that $\bigcup_{i=0}^{i=\infty} conv^i(Y)$ is convex, hence it equals $conv(Y)$. □

The number $k = inf\,\{i : conv^i(Y) = conv(y)\}$ is called Brunn's number. Brunn gave a lower and an upper bound for k in finite-dimensional vector spaces. Here we present our own proof of the intuitively obvious fact that Brunn's number of any subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is less or equal to n which uses Caratheodory's theorem, which we recall here:

Theorem (Caratheodory)**.** If E is a vector space of dimension d, then, for every subset X of E, every element in the convex hull $conv(X)$ is an affine convex combination of $d + 1$ elements of X.

Lemma 1.2. *Let X be a geodesic space, and let $Y \subseteq X$. If $conv^i(S) = conv(S)$ for every finite subset $S \subseteq Y$, then $conv^i(Y)$ is convex.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in conv^i(Y)$. Then, we can find $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in conv^{i-1}(Y)$ such that $x \in [a_1, a_2]$ and $y \in [b_1, b_2]$. Similarly, we can find $c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2 \in conv^{i-2}(Y)$ such that $a_1 \in [c_1, c_2]$ and $a_2 \in [d_1, d_2]$, etc. Proceeding recursively, we see that we can find points $x_1, \ldots, x_m \in Y$ such that $x, y \in conv^i(\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}) = conv(\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\})$. Hence, $[x, y] \subseteq conv^i(\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}) \subseteq conv^i(Y)$. □

Lemma 1.3. *For any finite set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $conv^n(S) = conv(S)$.*

Proof. By Caratheodory's theorem, $conv(S) = \bigcup conv(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\})$, where the union is taken over all $s_1, ..., s_{n+1} \in S$. Therefore, it suffices to show that for any set $\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $conv^n(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\}) = conv(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\})$. Consider the points $e_1, ..., e_{n+1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Their convex hull is the standard n-simplex Δ_n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Suppose $conv^{i-1}(\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\})$ contains all the $(i-1)$-faces of Δ_n, then $conv^i(\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\})$ contains all joins of the form $join\,\{F, e_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, where F is an $(i-1)$-face. But all of the i-faces are joins of this form. By induction, $conv^i\,\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\}$ contains all the i-faces. Hence, $conv^n(\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\})$ contains and therefore equals Δ_n. Now, let ϕ be the affine map which sends e_i to s_i. This map sends lines to lines, therefore $\phi(conv^i(\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\})) \subseteq conv^i(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\})$. Now, $\phi(conv^n(\{e_1, ..., e_{n+1}\}))$ is convex, contains $\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\}$, and is contained in $conv^n(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\})$. Therefore, $conv(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\}) = conv^n(\{s_1, ..., s_{n+1}\})$, as desired. ☐

Combining lemma 1.2 and lemma 1.3, we get:

Corollary 1.4. *For any subset $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $conv^n(Y) = conv(Y)$.*

Now, let us relate the foregoing discussion on convexity with non-positive curvature. Recall, that a subset Y of a metric space is called ν-quasiconvex if for all $x, y \in Y$ the geodesic segment $[x, y] \subseteq N_\nu(Y)$. In CAT(0) spaces, as a consequence of the convexity of the metric, one has control over the growth of the sizes of the sets $conv^i(Y)$.

Lemma 1.5. *Let X be a CAT(0) space and let Y be a ν-quasiconvex subset of X, then $conv^i(Y) \subseteq N_{(2i-1)\nu}(Y)$.*

Proof. In this proof we assume that all geodesics are parameterized proportional to arc length. As Y is ν-quasiconvex, we have trivially $conv^1(Y) \subseteq N_\nu(Y)$. This is the first step in an inductive argument: Let $x, y \in conv^i(Y)$, then $x \in [x_1, x_2]$, $y \in [y_1, y_2]$ with $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in conv^{i-1}(Y) \subseteq N_{(2i-3)\nu}(Y)$. Let $x_1', x_2', y_1', y_2' \in Y$ be points satisfying $d(x_j, x_j') < (2i-3)\nu$, $d(y_j, y_j') < (2i-3)\nu$ for $j = 1, 2$. Then, the convexity of the CAT(0) metric gives: $d([x_1, x_2](t), [x_1', x_2'](t)) \leq (1-t)d(x_1, x_1') + td(x_2, x_2') < (2i-3)\nu$, and similarly $d([y_1, y_2](t), [y_1', y_2'](t)) < (2i-3)\nu$. As $[x_1', x_2'], [y_1', y_2'] \subseteq conv^1(Y)$, we get $x, y \in N_{(2i-2)\nu}(Y)$. Now, let $x', y' \in Y$ be such that $d(x, x') < (2i-2)\nu$, $d(y, y') < (2i-2)\nu$; then, $d([x, y](t), [x', y'](t)) \leq (1-t)d(x, x') + td(y, y') < (2i-2)\nu$. As $[x', y'] \subseteq conv^1(Y) \subseteq N_\nu(Y)$, we see that $[x, y] \subseteq N_{(2i-1)\nu}$ as desired. ☐

2 Free×Free Abelian Groups

Throughout this section G will be the group $F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ and X will stand for the product of the regular $2m$-valent tree T_{2m} and $\mathbb{R}^n$. The action of G on X is the product action where F_{2m} acts as the group of deck transformations on the universal cover of the m-rose, T_{2m}, and $\mathbb{Z}^n$ acts by translation on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $H = \langle f_1 z_1, ..., f_s z_s \rangle$, $f_i \in F_m$, $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be a quasiconvex subgroup of G such that not all of the f_i have the same axis of translation in T_{2m}. Then, there exist positive integers $k_1, ..., k_s$ such that H contains the subgroup $A = \langle z_1^{k_1}, ..., z_s^{k_s} \rangle$.*

Proof. Let $1 \leq i \leq s$, let j be such that f_i and f_j have different axes of translation, and let l be a positive integer. Find an axis of translation for $f_i z_i$ whose projection to the Euclidean factor passes through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This can always be done by translating the Euclidean component of any given axis for $f_i z_i$. Let x_0 be a point on the chosen axis of translation for $f_i z_i$ such that $pr_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_0) = 0$. Consider the sequences of points $(f_i z_i)^l x_0$ and $(f_j z_j)(f_i z_i)^l x_0$. Because f_i and f_j have different axes, there is a vertex v in T_{2m} such that for every l, the geodesic segment $\left[(f_i z_i)^l x_0, (f_j z_j)(f_i z_i)^l x_0\right]$ passes through the flat $\{v\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Let y_l denote the point of intersection of $\{v\} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\left[(f_i z_i)^l x_0, (f_j z_j)(f_i z_i)^l x_0\right]$. The projection of this geodesic segment to the flat $\{v\} \times \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ is the geodesic segment between z_i^l and $z_i^l + z_j$. Since the geodesic $\left[(f_i z_i)^l x_0, (f_j z_j)(f_i z_i)^l x_0\right]$ intersects its projection in the point y_l, we have $d(y_l, (v, z_i^l)) \leq \|z_j\|$. The orbit Hx_0 is quasiconvex, hence there is $\nu > 0$ and $h_l \in H$ such that $d(h_l x_0, y_l) < \nu$. Then, $d(h_l x_0, z_i^l x_0) \leq d(h_l x_0, y_l) + d(y_l, z_i^l(v, 0)) + d(z_i^l(v, 0), z_i^l x_0) < \nu + \|z_j\| + d(x_0, (v, 0))$. If $\tau = \nu + \|z_j\| + d(x_0, (v, 0))$, then $B_\tau(h_l x_0) \cap B_\tau(z_i^l x_0) \neq \emptyset$, or $B_\tau(h_l^{-1} z_i^l x_0) \cap B_\tau(x_0) \neq \emptyset$, for all l. Because the action of G is proper, $h_l^{-1} z_i^l = g \in G$ for infinitely many values of l. Then, for some k,l we have $z_i^{l-k} = h_l h_k^{-1} \in H$. Setting $k_i = l - k$, we obtain $z_i^{k_i} \in H$. □

Let $p : T_{2m} \times \mathbb{Z}^n \to T_{2m}$ be the projection onto the first factor, and let V denote the real span of the vectors $z_1^{k_1}, ..., z_k^{k_s}$. We then, have the following:

Lemma 2.2. *With the same notation as in lemma 2.1, the convex hull of Hx_0 equals $conv(p(Hx_0)) \times V$.*

Proof. First, we note that the projection maps $p, pr_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ commute with the operation of forming the convex hull. That is, $p(conv(Hx_0)) = conv(p(Hx_0))$, and similarly for $pr_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. Let us show this for the projection map p. We begin by making the observation that $p(conv^1(S)) = conv^1(p(S))$ for any set S, since

p maps the geodesic segment connecting two points to the geodesic segment connecting their images. Therefore, we have $p(conv(Hx_0)) = p\left(\bigcup_i conv^i(Hx_0)\right) = \bigcup_i p(conv^i(Hx_0)) = \bigcup_i conv^i(p(Hx_0)) = conv(p(Hx_0))$.
Now, we proceed with the proof of the lemma.
'$\subseteq$': Without loss of generality, we may assume that $pr_{\mathbb{R}^n}(x_0) = 0$. Clearly, $conv(Hx_0) \subseteq p(conv(Hx_0)) \times pr_{\mathbb{R}^n}(conv(Hx_0))$, which after commuting the projection maps past *conv* gives us the desired inclusion.
'$\supseteq$': Let $x \in conv(p(Hx_0)) \times V$. Let $y \in conv(Hx_0)$ be such that $p(y) = p(x)$. Note that because H contains powers of the Euclidean translations $z_1, ..., z_k$, the projection of the convex hull of the orbit Hx_0 to the Euclidean factor will equal V. Also, $conv(Hx_0) \supseteq V \cdot y$, as $conv(Hx_0)$ is stable under the action of V by translations on the second factor. Hence, we can write $x = w \cdot y$, for some $w \in V$, so that $x \in conv(Hx_0)$. ☐

Lemma 2.3. *Let H be as in lemma 2.1. Then, the group H acts cocompactly on its convex hull. In particular, the Brunn number of the orbit Hx_0 is bounded above by $1 + \dim(V)$.*

Proof. Note that we can write $p(conv(Hx_0)) = conv(p(Hx_0))$ as a union of biinfinite geodesic rays γ, such that any point on γ lies between two points in $p(Hx_0)$. Then, $conv(Hx_0) = \bigcup_\gamma \gamma \times V$, and $\gamma \times V \cong \mathbb{R}^{1+\dim(V)}$. Note that $\gamma \times V$ contains the lattices $p(hx_0) \times \mathbb{Z}\text{–}span \left\langle z_1^{k_1}, ..., z_s^{k_s} \right\rangle$, where $h \in H$. Because of the assumption that any point on γ lies between two points $p(h_1), p(h_2) \in p(Hx_0)$, the convex hull of these lattices is all of $\gamma \times V$, and by corollary 1.4, $conv^{1+\dim(V)}\left(\bigcup(p(hx_0) \times \mathbb{Z}\text{–}span \left\langle z_1^{k_1}, ..., z_s^{k_s}\right\rangle\right) = \gamma \times V$. Finally, $conv^{1+\dim(V)}(Hx_0) \supseteq conv^{1+\dim(V)}\left(\bigcup(p(hx_0) \times \mathbb{Z}\text{–}span \left\langle z_1^{k_1}, ..., z_s^{k_s}\right\rangle\right) = conv(Hx_0)$. ☐

Combining lemmas 2.1-2.3, we obtain:

Theorem 2.4. *Any quasiconvex subgroup of $F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$ acts cocompactly on the convex hull of any of its orbits.*

Proof. Lemmas 2.1-2.3 take care of the case when for each $f_i z_i$ the subgroup H contains $f_j z_j$ such that f_i and f_j have different axes of translation. If $H = \left\langle f^{k_1} z_1, ..., f^{k_s} z_s \right\rangle$, $f \in F_m$, then the orbit Hx_0 is contained in a single flat $a_f \times V$ isometric to $\mathbb{R}^{1+\dim(V)}$, where a_f is a common axis for all f^{k_i}, and x_0 is on a common axis for all the $f_i z_i$. Hence, $conv(Hx_0) = conv^{1+\dim(V)}(Hx_0)$, which shows cocompactness of the action of H. In either of the cases $H = \langle f_1, ..., f_s\rangle$ or $H = \langle z_1, ..., z_s\rangle$, the conclusion is again trivially true. In the former case $conv(Hx_0) = conv^1(Hx_0)$, while in the latter $conv(Hx_0) = conv^s(Hx_0)$. ☐

In the course of proving the theorem, we have the essential ingredients for the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *If H is a quasiconvex subgroup of $F_m \times \mathbb{Z}^n$, H is either free, free Abelian, or virtually free×free Abelian.*

Proof. Let H be as in lemma 2.1. The proof of lemma 2.1 shows that for $g = fz \in H$, there exists s such that $z^s \in H$, and hence $f^s \in H$. Let $A = \mathbb{Z}^n \cap H$, $F = F_m \cap H$. Then, $g^s \in AF$. On the other hand, $[H, H] \subseteq F$, and also AF is normal in H. Hence, we see that H/AF is a finitely generated, torsion, Abelian group, and is therefore finite. If $H = \left\langle f^{k_1} z_1, ..., f^{k_s} z_s \right\rangle$, or $H = \langle z_1, ..., z_s \rangle$, then H is already free Abelian. $\square$

References

[1] **M R Bridson, A Haefliger**, *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature.* Springer (1999)

[2] **A Papadopoulos**, *Metric spaces, convexity and nonpositive Curvature.* IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, Vol. 6 (2004)

[3] **G C Hruska**, *Geometric invariants of spaces with isolated flats* Topology 44 (2005) 441-458